

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

TA No. 665 of 2014.
Date of Decision : 08.02.2016.

Anita Rani

...Applicant

Versus

Sunil Kumar

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PARAMJEET SINGH DHALIWAL

- 1) *Whether Reporters of the local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?*
- 2) *To be referred to the Reporters or not?*
- 3) *Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

Present: Mr. Amrainder Singh, Advocate for the applicant.

Mr. Lalit Garg, Advocate for the respondent.

Paramjeet Singh Dhaliwal, J.(Oral)

Learned counsel for the applicant states that matter has been compromised between the parties. Therefore, the present application has become infructuous.

Dismissed as infructuous.

February 08, 2016.
kanchan

(PARAMJEET SINGH DHALIWAL)
JUDGE