

...

112

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

RA-CR-111-C-II-2016

in C.R. No. 7612 of 2015

Date of Decision : April 28th, 2016

Sunder Lal

.... Applicant

Versus

Ramjilal and Ors.

.... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SHEKHER DHAWAN.

Present Mr. Madhu Ranjan, Advocate,
for the Applicants.

SHEKHER DHAWAN, J.

By filing the present application, the applicants [respondents in the main revision petition] seek review of order dated 30.03.2016 [Annexure P/4] passed by this Court vide which Civil Revision No. 7612 of 2015 filed by Sunder Lal [Petitioner], was allowed and the order dated 4.11.2015 passed by Civil Judge [Junior Division], Gurgaon allowing the application filed by the applicants and others, under Order 1 Rule 10 CPC, was set-aside.

2. Alongwith the Review Application, the applicants have also filed CM-7911-CII of 2016 under Section 151 CPC seeking permission to place on record documents, Annexures P/1 to P/11. At the hearing, learned counsel for the applicants sought to place reliance upon Annexures P/1 to P/11, which were not even the part of the record of the main revision petition.

...

3. Having heard submissions of learned counsel for the applicants and after going through the file, this Court is of the considered view that under the garb of present review, learned counsel for the applicants wants to re-argue the matter by taking the same pleas which were earlier raised by him and dealt with in the order dated 30.03.2016. The revision petition was allowed after hearing learned counsel for the parties. The present review application lacks merits in view of the categoric findings recorded in the concluding paragraph of the order under review that the application for impleadment was filed at a highly belated stage when the case was fixed for final arguments. More so, the documents now annexed with CM-7911-CII-2016 were not placed on record of the main revision petition by the applicants and reliance thereupon at this stage is totally misconceived. I do not find any illegality in the order passed which may warrant any interference in the present review application.

Consequently, CM-7911-CII-2016 as also RA-CR-111-C-II-2016 stand dismissed.

(SHEKHER DHAWAN)
JUDGE

April 28th, 2016
som