

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

RA-294-2016 in
CWP-5837-2014

Date of Decision: September 30, 2016

Comptroller & Auditor General of India and others

.....Applicants

Versus

Central Administrative Tribunal and another

.....Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE SURYA KANT
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.B.CHAUDHARI**

- | | | |
|----|---|---------|
| 1. | Whether speaking/reasoned ? | Yes/No |
| 2. | Whether reportable ? | Yes/No |
| 3. | Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment? | Yes/No |
| 4. | To be referred to the Reporters or not? | Yes/No |
| 5. | Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest? | Yes/No. |

.....

Present: Mr.Jagdeep Jaswal, Advocate
for applicant-respondent No.2.

.....

SURYA KANT, J.

This review application has been filed on the ground that the issue raised in CWP No.5837 of 2014 or in CWP No.24501 of 2013 was different than the controversy involved in CWP No.17663 of 2013 (**Pardeep Kumar and others vs Comptroller and Auditor General of India and others**).

Since no such distinction could be pointed out when all the cases were clubbed, heard and were remanded to the Tribunal for fresh adjudication on May 23, 2016, we grant liberty to the review applicants to raise the plea of such distinction before the Tribunal.

Disposed of.

**(SURYA KANT)
JUDGE**

**(A.B.CHAUDHARI)
JUDGE**