

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

Review Application No.226-CII of 2016 in
Civil Revision No.5346 of 2016
Date of Decision: October 03, 2016

Narender Singh

...Applicant/Petitioner

Versus

Ganesha Ram & another

...Non-applicant/Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL, JUDGE

Present: Mr.B.S.Mittal, Advocate,
for the applicant-petitioner.

AMIT RAWAL, J. (Oral)

The present application is for review of the order dated 22.8.2016, whereby the revision petition filed against the order dated 28.7.2016 passed by the trial Court allowing the application for impleading Pargat Singh, was dismissed.

Learned counsel for the applicant-petitioner submits that due to inadvertence, in the first para of the order, it has been observed that the application was moved at the instance of Pargat Singh, whereas the same had been filed by the plaintiff.

In my view, no ground for review is made out as it tantamounts to re-agitate the matter. The suit is for specific performance and the petitioner is alleged vendor. Plaintiff-respondent is a *dominus litus*. The impleadment is sought on the ground that Pargat Singh was a gift deed holder in 2000. In order to overcome the objection of mis-joinder and non-joinder of necessary party, the application has been moved.

There is no error apparent on the record. No ground for review is made out.

Application stands dismissed.

October 03, 2016
ramesh

(AMIT RAWAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether Reportable:

Yes/No
Yes/No