

CM Nos.10618-10619-C1 of 2016 in/and
RA No.537-C1 of 2016 in
RFA No.3050 of 2014

1

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CM Nos.10618-10619-C1 of 2016 in/and
RA No.537-C1 of 2016 in
RFA No.3050 of 2014

Date of Decision: 21.9.2016

Naib Singh

.....Petitioner

Vs.

Union Territory, Chandigarh

.....Respondent

...

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK

Present : Mr. Vishal Sodhi, Advocate for the applicant-respondent.

RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK J. (ORAL)

Applicant seeks permission to lead additional evidence by way of C.M.No.10619-C1 of 2016 and also seeks condonation of delay of 140 days in filing the review application, besides seeking review of the order and judgement dated 2.2.2016 passed by this court in RFA No.3050 of 2014.

Having heard learned counsel for the applicant, it becomes specifically clear that the additional evidence, on the basis of which instant review application has been filed, was not part of the record nor it was brought to the notice of this court at the time of hearing on 2.2.2016.

CM Nos.10618-10619-C1 of 2016 in/and
RA No.537-C1 of 2016 in
RFA No.3050 of 2014

2

Applicant seeks to produce additional evidence to make it a ground for review, which is not permissible in law.

In view of the above, both these applications as well as the review application are wholly misconceived, bereft of merit and without any substance, thus, these must fail. No case for interference has been made out.

Dismissed.

(RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK)
JUDGE

21.9.2016
GS

Whether speaking/reasoned/non-speaking

Whether reportable: Yes/No