

RA-CW-7-2016
In CWP No.25806 of 2015

1

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Decided on: 30.11.2016

**1. RA-CW-7-2016 in
CWP No.25806 of 2015**

ST College of Nursing & Medical Science Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others Respondents

**2. RA-CW-10-2016
In CWP No.25805 of 2015**

ST College of Nursing & Medical Science Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others Respondents

**3. RA-CW-11-2016
In CWP No.25811 of 2015**

Global Institute of Nursing Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE G.S. SANDHAWALIA

Present: Mr. Sarbjit Singh, Advocate,
for the applicant-respondent No.3.

Mr. Manish Dadwal, Advocate,
for non-applicant/petitioner
(in CWP Nos. 25806 & 25805 of 2015).

Mr. Puneet Sharma, Advocate,
for the non-applicant/petitioner.
(in CWP No.25811 of 2015).

Ms. Lavanya Paul, AAG, Punjab.

G.S. Sandhawalia, J. (Oral)

This order shall dispose of three review applications i.e. RA-CW-7-2016 in CWP No.25806 of 2015, RA-CW-10-2016 in CWP No.25805 of 2015 and RA-CW-11-2016 in CWP No.25811 of 2015.

The review applications have been filed by respondent No.3 primarily on the ground that vide the order dated 11.12.2015 sought to be reviewed, late fees of only 15 days had been permitted @ ₹100/- per student which would not be the correct reading of notification dated 11.03.2014 (Annexure R-1). It is submitted that as per clause 9(iii) Rs.100/- per student per day was to be paid after 31st July, which is to be calculated at the said rate till 15 days before the start of the examination, while accepting the examination form.

Counsel for respondent No.3 on the other hand submitted that examinations were held and the result was only declared on 19.08.2015 and the supplementary examinations were held subsequently and result was only declared on 24.10.2015. The question of applying within the prescribed period then would not arise and examination form could only be filled up after 24.10.2015 and this Court had been approached on 09.12.2015.

Counsel are thus ad idem that the observations made in the order under review will not as such act as binding precedent against the nursing council for subsequent times to come.

In such circumstances, in view of the consensus arrived at, the review applications are, accordingly, disposed of with the said clarification.

The said order be read as part of the main order.

30.11.2016
Dinesh

(G.S. SANDHAWALIA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	:	Yes/No