

CM-2882-LPA-2016 in/and
RA-18-2016 in
LPA-1065-2016

AMARJIT SINGH VS CHANDIGARH HOUSING BOARD AND
OTHERS

Present: Mr.S.S.Hira, Advocate
for the review-applicant-appellant.

.....

CM-2882-LPA-2016U

Application is allowed. Documents Annexures A-1 to A-3 are taken on record subject to all just exceptions.

RA-18-2016

The review applicant -appellant seeks to recall/review the order dated 11.07.2016, whereby the applicant's Letters Patent Appeal was dismissed. The said appeal was preferred by the applicant-appellant against the order dated 24.05.2016 whereby learned Single Judge upheld the order of his compulsory retirement.

The review application has been moved on the plea that in the order under review, un-amended Rule 3 has been quoted in para 8 whereas the said Rule stands amended vide notification dated 05.09.2014.

We have gone through the amendment made vide above-stated notification whereby the words "twenty five years" have been substituted with the words "fifteen years or twenty years or twenty five years or thirty years or thirty five years, as the case may be".

The aforementioned amendment, in our considered view, has no bearing on the merits of the case.

No case to review/recall the order dated 11.07.2016 is made out.

Dismissed.

(SURYA KANT)
JUDGE

August 05, 2016
meenu

(DARSHAN SINGH)
JUDGE