

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

RA No.160-CI of 2016 (O&M) in
RFA No.4034 of 2013
Date of decision: 23.9.2016

Ashok Kumar and others

.. Non-applicants/
Appellants

vs

State of Haryana and others

..Respondents

Coram: Hon'ble Mr. Justice Rajesh Bindal

Present: Mr. Akhilesh Vyas, Advocate for
 Mr. Prateek Mahajan, Advocate, for applicant/respondent No.3.
 Mr. Ankur Mittal, Additional Advocate General, Haryana.

None for the non-applicants/appellants.

Rajesh Bindal, J.

Review of the order passed by this Court on 6.10.2015 disposing of the appeal in terms of the judgment in RFA No.2373 of 2010 titled as Madan Pal vs. State of Haryana and another, has been sought on the ground that the acquisition in Madan Pal's case (supra) was pertaining to District Gurgaon, whereas the present appeal pertains to District Sonapat, which may have been inadvertently listed along with appeals pertaining to District Gurgaon.

The facts have been ascertained from the record.

The case in hand pertains to acquisition of land in village Ganaur, District Sonapat acquired for the purpose of development as New Vegetable Market, Ganaur, whereas the acquisition under consideration in Madan Pal's case (supra) was in District Gurgaon for setting up of Industrial Model Township, Manesar.

RA No.160-CI of 2016 (O&M) in
RFA No.4034 of 2013

-2-

For the reasons mentioned above, the order dated 6.10.2015 disposing of the present appeal in terms of Madan Pal's case (supra), is recalled. The appeal is restored to its original number.

The same be now listed along with the bunch of other appeals arising out of the same acquisition, as per roster.

The review application stands disposed of.

(Rajesh Bindal)
Judge

23.9.2016
sharmila

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No