

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

R.A.No.65-C of 2016 (O&M) in
RSA No.644 of 2016
Date of Decision: September 14, 2016

Subhash Chander (deceased) through L.Rs

...Applicant-appellants

Versus

Parikshit & others

...Non-applicant/respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL, JUDGE

Present: Mr.B.S.Rana, Senior Advocate with
Mr.Roopak Bansal, Advocate,
for the applicant-appellants.

AMIT RAWAL, J. (Oral)

Applicant-appellants seek review of the order dated 11.2.2016 qua the findings of Will on the premise that it is perverse. I am afraid, the scope of review is very limited. If, according to the applicant-appellants, the order is perverse, they are at liberty to challenge the same. In my view, an attempt is being made to re-argue the appeal in a review petition, which is not permissible.

Accordingly, review application stands dismissed.

September 14, 2016
ramesh

(AMIT RAWAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether Reportable:

Yes/No