

108

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**RA-RS-138-C-2016 (O&M) IN
RSA-1014-2012
Date of decision : 10.11.2016**

Harnam Singh

... Appellant

Versus

Lal Chand and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL

Present: Mr. S.S. Sodhi, Advocate
for the applicant/respondents.

AMIT RAWAL, J. (ORAL)

This Court vide order dated 08.04.2016 allowed the
aforementioned appeal.

Now an application under Section 114 CPC read with Order 47
and Rules thereunder for reviewing the order dated 08.04.2016.

No ground is made in the application seeking review of the
order dated 08.04.2016 which the applicant/respondents are aggrieved as
order of mine had decided the controversy qua maintainability of the suit for
permanent injunction against a co-sharer and co-tenant. If at all the parties
are co-sharers or co-tenants, they are at liberty to seek their grievance in
accordance with law.

Accordingly the review application is dismissed.

There is an application for delay of 152 days in filing the

application. The ground and explanation given in the application is not reasonable, much less, cogent and accordingly, the application is also dismissed.

10.11.2016
Yogesh Sharma

(AMIT RAWAL)
JUDGE

	✓
Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/ No
	✓
Whether Reportable	Yes/ No