

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**RA-RF No. 447-CI of 2016 (O&M) in
RFA No. 7038 of 2012**

Date of Decision: November 21, 2016

Partap Singh and others

.....Applicants/Appellants

Versus

Haryana Government through Collector, Sonapat and others

.....Non-applicants/
respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH BINDAL

Present: Mr. S.P. Khatri, Advocate
for the applicants.

Mr. Ankur Mittal, Addl. Advocate General, Haryana.

RAJESH BINDAL, J.

In the garb of review, arguments are sought to be readdressed
on merits referring to the evidence led, which cannot be permitted.

Accordingly, the review application is dismissed.

Consequently, the accompanying application is also dismissed.

**(RAJESH BINDAL)
JUDGE**

November 21, 2016
reema

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether Reportable:

Yes/No