

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

**RSA No.862 of 2014 (O&M)
Date of Decision.26.07.2016**

Rajinder Pal

.....Appellant

Vs.

Mohan Lal

.....Respondent

Present: Mr. G.L. Bajaj, Advocate
for the appellant.

CORAM:HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not ?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

-.-

AMIT RAWAL J. (ORAL)

The regular second appeal is of the year 2014. The registry has already raised objection with regard to payment of court fee. Till date, no court fee has been paid.

Learned counsel for the appellant submits that despite repeated reminders to the client, he has not come forward to pay the court fee.

The regular second appeal is dismissed for deficiency of court fee.

**(AMIT RAWAL)
JUDGE**

July 26, 2016
Pankaj*

Whether speaking/reasoned No

Whether reportable No