

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH**

**RA-RF No.548-CI of 2016 in  
RFA No.220 of 2013 (O&M)  
Date of decision: 18.11.2016**

**Nand Lal**

**... Applicant**

**Vs.**

**Union of India and others**

**... Respondents**

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK**

Present: Mr. Peeyush Gagneja, Advocate  
for the applicant.

\*\*\*\*\*

**RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK, J. (ORAL)**

Learned counsel for the applicant has fairly stated that no such argument was raised, which might have not been dealt with by this Court and may be made the basis for moving the instant review application.

Although, this review application is not maintainable at the hands of the applicant, yet even on merits it is without any substance.

Neither there was any other material available on record, which might have not been referred and discussed in the order under review dated 23.02.2016, nor it is so argued on behalf of the applicant even today. In fact, learned counsel for the applicant wants to re-argue the case under the garb of instant application, which is not permissible in law.

After hearing learned counsel for the applicant, no case for review has been made out.

Dismissed.

Since the review application has been dismissed having been found without any substance, other applications are also disposed of, accordingly.

**[ RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK ]  
JUDGE**

18.11.2016  
*vishnu*

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether reportable

Yes/No