

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CM No.9142-CI of 2016 in/and
RA-RF No.426-CI of 2016 in
RFA No.7880 of 2013
Date of decision: 22.08.2016**

Jugal Kishor

... Applicant-appellant

Vs.

State of Haryana and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK

Present: Mr. B.K. Bagri, Advocate for the applicant-appellant.

RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK, J. (ORAL)

CM No.9142-CI of 2016

Applicant seeks condonation of delay of 26 days in filing the review application.

After hearing the learned counsel for the applicant, instant application is allowed, for the reasons stated therein. Delay of 26 days in filing the review application is condoned.

CM stands disposed of.

RA-RF No.426-CI of 2016

Heard learned counsel for the applicant.

It is the settled proposition of law that each case is to be decided on its own peculiar fact situation obtaining on record. During the course of hearing, learned counsel for the applicant could not point out any material available on record, which may justify the claim of the applicant put forth by him in the instant review application claiming the severance charges to the extent of 50% of the market value than 30% thereof, which has been granted to him vide order dated 11.05.2016 which is being sought to be reviewed. In fact,

learned counsel for the applicant wants to re-argue the appeal under the garb of present review application which is not permissible in law. Further no mistake of any kind in the judgment dated 11.05.2016, which might be said to be a mistake apparent on the record, has been pointed out.

After hearing the learned counsel for the applicant, no ground for review has been made out.

Dismissed.

22.08.2016
vishnu

**[RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK]
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No