

R.A.No.46-C of 2016 (O&M) in
RSA No.6082 of 2015

{ 1 }

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

R.A.No.46-C of 2016 (O&M) in
R.S.A.No.6082 of 2015
Date of Decision: September 5, 2016

Naveen Kumar

Applicant-appellant

Versus

Late Smt.Bhagwan Kaur (since deceased) through L.Rs & another

Non-applicant-Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL, JUDGE

Present: None for the applicant-appellant.

AMIT RAWAL, J. (Oral)

There is no representation on behalf of the applicant-appellant. Position was not different on 11.7.2016 and 9.8.2016.

I have gone through the contents of the review application. In my view, review application tantamounts to re-hearing of the appeal. The appeal was dismissed on the ground that the applicant-appellant failed to prove that the property at the hands of the predecessor-in-interest was ancestral in nature.

R.A.No.46-C of 2016 (O&M) in
RSA No.6082 of 2015

{ 2 }

prima-facie proved that an attempt is being made to re-submit arguments and re-agitate the controversy under the garb of the review application, , which is not permissible. However, there is no passing reference as to what error crept in the order, which is sought to be reviewed.

No ground for interference is made out.

Review application stands dismissed.

September 5, 2016
ramesh

(AMIT RAWAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether Reportable:

Yes/No