

120. Review Application No.30-CII of 2016 in
Civil Revision No.5796 of 2014

Kamaljit Singh
Versus
Rachhpal Singh and another

Present: Mr.H.S. Kathpal, Advocate,
for the applicant/petitioner.

Mr. V.K. Sandhir, Advocate,
for respondent No.1.

The document filed before the court to point out to a breach shows the signature of the party as contained in the original petition. The contention is that once a copy is made, it should be again attested with the signatures. A photocopy is a mechanical process that assures the reproduction of what is contained in the original. If the original is prepared and a photocopy is made, I am prima facie of the view that it requires no further authentication than what the document contains. The argument made is that the requirement of law will be satisfied if only after a copy is taken, it should be attested afresh. This contention could be placed before the Election Tribunal among other arguments and the Election Tribunal will consider the same at the time of disposal without being in any way fettered by the observation at this stage. It is surely not a case that would require a petition to be thrown out at this stage. There is nothing for review. Review application is dismissed.

(K.KANNAN)
JUDGE

25.02.2016
sanjeev