

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

RA No.600-CI of 2016 (O&M) in
RFA No.6534 of 2013
Date of decision: 4.11.2016

Murti Devi and others

..... Applicants

Versus

State of Haryana and others

..... Non-applicants
/Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH BINDAL

Present: Mr. N.C. Kinra, Advocate, for the applicants.

Mr. Ankur Mittal, Additional Advocate General, Haryana.

RAJESH BINDAL, J

Under the garb of review application, the appeal is sought to be re-argued on merits with the change of counsel, who was not counsel when the appeal was argued, which cannot be entertained.

The review application is accordingly dismissed. Consequently, the application seeking condonation of delay is also dismissed.

**(RAJESH BINDAL)
JUDGE**

4.11.2016

sharmila

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No