

126 RA No.178 of 2016 in
 C.M. No.6835 of 2016 in
 CWP No.21064 of 2014

Surjit Kaur Vs. State of Punjab & ors.

Present: Mr. Vikas Chatrath, Advocate,
 for the applicant/respondent No.7.

The applicant-Bank was a party to the petition. The State of Punjab has filed written statement stating categorically that the delay, if any, in disbursing money was on the bank since it was the disbursing authority and interest cannot be saddled on the State for the delay caused. That is why the interest element has been saddled on the State Bank of India.

After having heard learned counsel and not having pleaded anything contrary to the assertion in the reply of the State, I would dismiss the review application. If the Bank feels aggrieved, after the dismissal of this application, it is free to take up the matter inter se with the State of Punjab with respect to liability of payment of interest. However, the order dated 25.1.2016 will stand effective qua the petitioner.

Dismissed.

(RAJIV NARAIN RAINA)
JUDGE

3.8.2016
monika

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes / No

Whether Reportable:

Yes / No