

**MUKHTIAR KAUR
VS
STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS**

Present : Mr. Rupam Aggarwal, DAG, Punjab,
for the applicant-respondent.

Mr.Pawan Kumar Goklaney, Advocate,
for the non-applicant/petitioner.

Additional affidavit has been filed by the State of Punjab in the review application. It is stated that on the date when the order was passed i.e 02.02.2016, certain amounts were paid from the pensionary dues and some have not been paid and the Court was misinformed due to inadvertence of the learned counsel appearing for State of Punjab.

In the additional affidavit, State has explained why 9% interest should not be saddled on the government.

Learned counsel for the parties are *ad idem* that the matter has also been rendered infructuous on the account that subsequent order has been passed which has been challenged by the petitioner and the petitioner is no longer interested in this petition as the dispute pending in litigation filed by the petitioner after dismissal of this case is limited to pension only.

To examine both these issues, the order dated 02.02.2016 is recalled. The review application is disposed of accordingly.

**(RAJIV NARAIN RAINA)
JUDGE**

SEPTEMBER 07, 2016
shalini