

101 RA-267-2016 in
CWP-12048-2016
SUSHIL KUMAR AND OTHERS VS STATE OF HARYANA AND
OTHERS

Present: Mr.Parveen Chauhan, Advocate
for the applicant-petitioners.

.....

After hearing the learned counsel for the review applicant/petitioners, we modify the order dated July 18, 2016 and substitute the last three paragraphs of our order as follows:

“It is on this premise that the petitioners seek a direction that their claim for grant of higher compensation may be directed to be considered along with the claim of writ petitioners of CWP No.3678 of 2015 (**Dharambir Singh and others vs State of Haryana and others**), and other connected cases, disposed of on 08.04.2015 (Annexure P-7).

Vide above-stated order dated 08.04.2015 passed in **Dharambir Singh** case (supra), the contention of the writ petitioners based upon observations made by the Hon'ble Supreme Court were kept in view and the writ petition was disposed of with a direction to the authorities to ascertain their claim and decide the same by way of a reasoned order within six months.”

For the same reasons, the instant writ petition is also disposed of in the same terms as in **Dharambir Singh** (supra).

(SURYA KANT)
JUDGE

September 02, 2016
meenuss

(DARSHAN SINGH)
JUDGE