

RSA No. 493 of 2014(O&M)
Date of Decision: 25.2.2016

Rattan Chand

---Appellant

versus

Surjit Kaur and others

---Respondents

Coram: Hon'ble Mrs. Justice Rekha Mittal

Present: Mr. Satbir Rathore, Advocate
for the appellant

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Rekha Mittal, J.

The present appeal has been directed against the consistent findings recorded by the courts below whereby the suit filed by the respondents/plaintiffs for recovery on the basis of agreement dated 29.11.2004 (Ex. P-1) has been decreed by the trial court and the findings recorded by the trial court have been affirmed in appeal.

Counsel for the appellant would contend that the findings recorded by the courts below are the result of misreading and mis-appreciation of evidence, based on surmises and conjunctures, therefore, the same cannot stand the test of judicial scrutiny.

I have heard counsel for the appellant, perused the records and find no merit in the appeal.

Though the appellant has raised general and vague

allegations to assail the judgments passed by the courts below but counsel has failed to point out as to how the contentions get substantiated from the materials on record. I do not find any error much less illegality in the findings recorded by the trial court concurred by the court in appeal when otherwise no question of law much less substantial one arises for adjudication.

For the foregoing reasons, the appeal is dismissed in limine.

No order as to costs.

(Rekha Mittal)
Judge

25.2.2016

PARAMJIT