

TA-927-2015

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

TA-927-2015

Date of Decision: 15.02.2016

Shalu

... Applicant (s)

Versus

Ravinder Kumar

... Respondent(s)

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PARAMJEET SINGH
DHALIWAL**

- 1) Whether Reporters of the local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?.
- 2) To be referred to the Reporters or not ?.
- 3) Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest ?

Present: Mr. Divya Sarup, Advocate,
for the applicant.

Mr. Rajeshwar Singh Thakur, Advocate,
for the respondent.

Paramjeet Singh Dhaliwal, J. (Oral)

Instant application under Section 24 of the Code of Civil Procedure has been filed seeking transfer of petition titled as 'Ravinder vs. Shalu', under Section 13 (ia) of the Hindu Marriage Act, 1955, filed by the respondent-husband for dissolution of marriage, from Hisar to Rewari.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.

In para no.5 of the application, the applicant has herself admitted that she is trying for her transfer to Rewari from Hisar and she

TA-927-2015

is hopeful that she would be transferred to Rewari in a short span. Admittedly, the applicant is posted in Hisar and even the minor born from the wedlock of the parties is studying in Hisar. The applicant cannot seek transfer on the presumption that she would be transferred to Rewari in a short span.

The Hon'ble Supreme in **Anindita Das vs. Srijit Das**, (2006)

9 Supreme Court Cases, 197 has held as under:

“3. Even otherwise, it must be seen that at one stage this Court was showing leniency to ladies. But since then it has been found that a large number of transfer petitions are filed by women taking advantage of the leniency shown by this Court. On an average at least 10 to 15 transfer petitions are on board of each court on each admission day. It is, therefore, clear that leniency of this Court is being misused by the women.

5. Except for stating that her health is not good, no particulars are given. On the ground that she is not able to come to Delhi to attend the court on a particular date, she can always apply for exemption and her application will undoubtedly be considered on its merit. Hence, no ground for transfer has been made out.

6. Accordingly, we dismiss the Transfer Petition. We, however, direct that the respondent shall pay all travel and stay expenses of the petitioner and her companion for each and every occasion when she is required to attend the Court at Delhi.

7. The respondent shall send in advance to the petitioner money for a 2nd Class A.C. train ticket for herself and a companion. The respondent shall also pay stay expenses of the petitioner and her companion in a 3-Star

TA-927-2015

Hotel. The trial court shall ensure that the petitioner has been paid the travel expenses in advance and that the hotel expenses are paid to her on each and every occasion when she is required to attend the Court at Delhi.”

In view of above, no ground for transferring the case is made out.

Dismissed.

15.02.2016

parveen kumar

(Paramjeet Singh Dhaliwal)
Judge