

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

TA-808-2015

Date of Decision: 02.02.2016

Nitika Bhardwaj

... Applicant (s)

Versus

Vijay Bhardwaj and another

... Respondent(s)

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PARAMJEET SINGH
DHALIWAL**

- 1) Whether Reporters of the local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?.
- 2) To be referred to the Reporters or not ?.
- 3) Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest ?

Present: None for the applicant.

Mr. Jasmeet Ghumman, Advocate,
for respondent No.1.

Paramjeet Singh Dhaliwal, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the respondent states that petition filed by the respondent under Sections 7, 8, 17 and 25 of the Guardians and Wards Act, 1890 which is sought to be transferred by the applicant, has been withdrawn by the respondent and, therefore, instant application has become infructuous.

Dismissed as infructuous.

02.02.2016
parveen kumar

(Paramjeet Singh Dhaliwal)
Judge