

In the High Court of Punjab and Haryana at Chandigarh

**T.A. No. 711 of 2015 (O&M)
Date of Decision: March 03, 2016**

Sarabjeet Kaur

... Applicant

Versus

Jagpreet Singh

... Respondent

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PARAMJEET SINGH
DHALIWAL**

Present: Mr. Ashok Bhardwaj, Advocate,
for the applicant.

Mr. Rakesh Gupta, Advocate,
for the respondent.

Paramjeet Singh Dhaliwal, J. (Oral)

Instant application has been filed under Section 24 of the Code of Civil Procedure for transfer of petition, under Section 9 of the Hindu Marriage Act (hereinafter referred to as the “Act”), filed by the respondent titled as “Jagpreet Singh vs. Sarabjit Kaur”, pending in the Court of learned Addl. Civil Judge (Senior Division)-I, Patiala to the court of competent jurisdiction at Sangrur.

Learned counsel for the applicant contends that respondent-husband filed a petition under Section 9 of the Act for restitution of conjugal rights, which is pending before the learned Addl. Civil Judge (Senior Division), Patiala. The applicant has no source of income. Learned counsel further contends that the applicant is residing at her parental house situated in District Sangrur and it is not convenient for her to travel from

Sangrur to Patiala to defend proceedings instituted by the husband. Petition under Section 125 Cr.P.C., filed by the applicant, is pending against the respondent at Sangrur. Learned counsel for the applicant relied upon a judgment of Hon'ble Supreme Court in **Deepti Bhandari vs. Nitin Bhandari and another, 2012 (1) R.C.R. (Civil) 506** and contended that it would be easier for the respondent-husband to attend the proceedings in Sangrur, than for the applicant to attend the same in Patiala. Therefore, petition under Section 9 of the Act may be transferred to Sangrur.

Per contra, learned counsel for the respondent vehemently opposes the contentions raised by learned counsel for the applicant.

In view of the submissions made by learned counsel for the applicant, the circumstances mentioned in the application, pendency of other proceedings between the parties at Sangrur and keeping in view the decision of Hon'ble Supreme Court in **Deepti Bhandari (supra)**, this Court is of the view that it would be in the interest of the respondent as well that all proceedings are tried at one place as he will not be required to appear at different places on different dates. Accordingly, petition under Section 9 of the Act filed by the respondent titled "Jagpreet Singh vs. Sarabjit Kaur", pending in the Court of learned Addl. Civil Judge (Senior Division), Patiala is withdrawn and ordered to be transferred to learned District Judge, Sangrur, who may assign the same to the Court of competent jurisdiction there. Learned Addl. Civil Judge (Senior Division), Patiala shall ensure that entire record of the case is sent to learned District Judge, Sangrur.

Parties are directed to appear before the Court of learned District Judge, Sangrur on 22.03.2016. Parties will be at liberty to make a request to the concerned courts for fixing both the afore-mentioned cases on

one date. If such a request is made, the Courts shall make an endeavour to fix same date in both the aforementioned cases.

Disposed of.

March 03, 2016
vkd

[Paramjeet Singh Dhaliwal]
Judge