

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

TA No.677 of 2015
Date of decision: 12.01.2016

Sonia

....Petitioner

Versus

Inderjeet Singh

....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PARAMJEET SINGH DHALIWAL

- 1) Whether Reporters of the local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?
- 2) To be referred to the Reporters or not ?
- 3) Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest ?

Present: - Mr. Rahul Jaswal, Advocate, for the petitioner.
Mr. Rahul Bhargava, Advocate, for the respondent.

PARAMJEET SINGH DHALIWAL, J. (ORAL)

Instant petition under Section 24 of the Code of Civil Procedure has been filed for transfer of the petition under Section 9 of the Hindu Marriage Act, 1955 filed by respondent from Amritsar to the Court of competent jurisdiction at District Kapurthala.

Learned counsel for the respondent submits that the petition has already been decided by learned Civil Judge (Senior Division), Amritsar.

In view of above, this petition has been rendered infructuous.

Dismissed as infructuous. In case the statement is found to be incorrect, petitioner will be at liberty to move application for revival of the petition.

(Paramjeet Singh Dhaliwal)
Judge

January 12, 2016
R.S.