

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

VATAP No. 7 of 2016 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 22.4.2016

The Batala Co-operative Sugar Mill Ltd., Batala

....Appellant.

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents.

1. Whether the Reporters of the local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

**CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY KUMAR MITTAL.
HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE RAJ RAHUL GARG.**

PRESENT: Mr. M.R. Sharma, Advocate for the appellant.

AJAY KUMAR MITTAL, J.

1. An application bearing CM No. 1834-CII of 2016 has been filed under Section 149 of the Code of Civil Procedure for condonation of delay in payment of shortfall in the court fee. Learned counsel for the appellant submitted that the deficiency in court fee has been made good, the delay, if any, be condoned. Application is allowed and the delay, if any, in making good the deficiency in court fee, is condoned.
2. For orders, see **VATAP No. 6 of 2016 (The Gurdaspur Co-operative Sugar Mill Ltd., Paniar, Gurdaspur v. State of Punjab and others).**

**(AJAY KUMAR MITTAL)
JUDGE**

April 22, 2016
gbs

**(RAJ RAHUL GARG)
JUDGE**