

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

**C.M. Nos.17583-84-C of 2016 and
RSA No.3989 of 2014
Date of Decision.22.12.2016**

Santosh Kumar Aggarwal

.....Appellant

Vs

Sushila Mittal

.....Respondent

Present: Mr. Shiv Kumar, Advocate
for the appellant.
Mr. Shrey Goel, Advocate
for the applicant-respondent.

CORAM:HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL

-.-

AMIT RAWAL J. (ORAL)

C.M. No.17584-C of 2016

The application for refund of court fee is allowed.

The respondent-plaintiff shall be entitled for refund of the court
fee of ₹56,395/- as affixed before the trial Court, in accordance with law.

C.M. No.17583-C of 2016 and RSA No. 3989 of 2014

The prayer in the application is for deciding the main appeal in
view of the compromise (Annexure A-1) arrived at between the parties.

The application is allowed and the regular second appeal is
dismissed as withdrawn in view of the compromise entered between the parties.
The compromise (Annexure A-1) shall be made part of the record.

An oral request/application is made also by the counsel appearing
for the appellant-defendant for refund of the court fee. I accede to the request
of the counsel for the appellant-defendant and he shall be entitled to refund of
the Court fee affixed by him before the lower Appellate Court and as well as
this Court in accordance with law.

**(AMIT RAWAL)
JUDGE**

December 22, 2016

Pankaj*

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes
No