

235
IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

TA-715-2016 (O&M)
Date of decision: 16.11.2016

PinkiPetitioner.

Versus

Patras MasihRespondent.

CORAM: - HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJAN GUPTA.

Present: - Mr. R.K.Arya, Advocate for petitioner.

Rajan Gupta, J.

....

Petitioner, who is wife of respondent, seeks transfer of proceedings under Section 10(1) (x) of the Indian Divorce Act, 1869 (for short 'the Act'), pending at Ludhiana to Gurdaspur. It is urged before the court that petitioner is dependent upon her parents. She has no source of income and it is very difficult for her to defend the case at Ludhiana as the distance from Ludhiana to Gurdaspur is 200 KMs.

Respondent remains un-represented despite service.

Keeping in view facts and circumstances of the case, it is directed that the proceedings under Section 10 (1) (x) of the Act pending at Ludhiana be transferred to competent court at Gurdaspur.

Allowed in above terms.

(RAJAN GUPTA)
JUDGE

16.11.2016
preeti

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether Reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>