

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

TA No. 707 of 2016
Date of decision: 20.9.2016

Rosy Chopra

...Petitioner

Versus

Sarabjit Singh

...Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJAN GUPTA

Present: Mr. Sandeep Arora, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

RAJAN GUPTA, J. (Oral)

This petition under Section 24 of the Code of Civil Procedure is for transfer of proceedings under Section 9 of the Hindu Marriage Act, initiated by the respondent-husband at Kapurthala. It has been urged before the Court that an FIR was lodged by the petitioner at Jalandhar and investigation of the same is pending. According to learned counsel, the petitioner has a minor child and she finds it difficult to defend herself effectively at Kapurthala. He further submits that the petitioner is totally dependent on her parents.

Keeping in view the facts of the case, I feel that it shall be in the interest of justice if the petition under Section 9 of the Hindu Marriage Act pending at Kapurthala is transferred to Jalandhar. This Court is conscious of the fact that this order is being passed without notice to the respondent. However, keeping in view the fact that the petitioner is a woman, having a minor child and distance between Kapurthala to Jalandhar

is about 20 kms, this petition is allowed and the petition under Section 9 of the Hindu Marriage Act pending at Kapurthala is transferred to Jalandhar.

20.9.2016
prem

(RAJAN GUPTA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes
Yes/No