

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

TA-690-2016 (O&M)

Date of decision: 17.12.2016

Deepika

.....Petitioner.

Versus

Gagan Sehgal

.....Respondent.

CORAM: - HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJAN GUPTA.

Present: - Mr. Mandeep Kumar Dhot, Advocate for petitioner.

Ms. Preeti Sharma, Advocate for respondent.

Rajan Gupta, J.

....

Reply filed on behalf of respondent is already on record.

Petitioner, who is wife of respondent, seeks transfer of proceedings under Section 9 of the Act pending at Ludhiana to Sangrur. It has been urged before the court that three cases are already pending at Sangrur. Petitioner has no source of income and she is dependent on her parents. It would be difficult for her to defend the proceedings at Ludhiana as the distance between Ludhiana to Sangrur is 70 KMs.

Keeping in view fact that petitioner is a woman and has no source of income, it is directed that the proceedings under Section 9 of the Act pending at Ludhiana be transferred to competent court at Sangrur.

Allowed in above terms.

**(RAJAN GUPTA)
JUDGE**

17.12.2016

preeti

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether Reportable

Yes/No