

217
IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

TA No. 400 of 2016 (O&M)
Date of decision: 26.9.2016

Jyoti

.....Petitioner.

Versus

Priyavarat

.....Respondent.

CORAM: - HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJAN GUPTA.

Present: - Mr. Rakesh Gupta, Advocate for petitioner.

Rajan Gupta, J.

....

Petitioner, who is wife of respondent, seeks transfer of proceedings under Section 9 of the Hindu Marriage Act, 1955 (for short the 'Act') pending at Mansa to Patiala. It is urged before the court that petitioner-wife filed a complaint under Section 12 of the Protection of Women from Domestic Violence Act, 2005 against respondent at Rajpura. It is further stated that certain litigation is also pending at Patiala. Besides, petitioner has no source of income and it would be difficult for her to defend the proceedings at Mansa as the distance is 110 kms from Patiala.

Respondent remains un-represented despite service.

Keeping in view facts and circumstances of the case, it is directed that the proceedings under Section 9 of the Act pending at Mansa be transferred to competent court at Patiala.

Allowed in above terms.

(RAJAN GUPTA)
JUDGE

26.9.2016
preeti

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether Reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No