

**HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

TA-314-2016
Date of decision: 1.6.2016

Geeta

...Applicant

Versus

Vinod Kumar

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK

1. To be referred to the Reporters or not ?
2. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest ?

Present: Mr.C.M.Munjali, Advocate for the applicant.

RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK, J. (Oral)

Petition under Section 9 of the Hindu Marriage Act, 1955 ('the HM Act' for short), filed by the respondent-husband, is being sought to be transferred from Fatehabad to Sirsa by the applicant-wife by way of instant transfer application under Section 24 of the Code of Civil Procedure.

Notice of motion was issued and in the meantime further proceedings before the learned trial Court at Fatehabad were stayed.

As per office report dated 30.5.2016, service is complete.

Case called twice. However, nobody has come present on behalf of the respondent to contest this transfer application.

It has gone undisputed before this Court that applicant-wife is living with her parents at Sirsa. She is stated to be working at Sirsa. Three other litigations, at the instance of the applicant-wife, are already pending at Sirsa. Distance between Sirsa and Fatehabad is about 100 kilometers.

In view of the above-said undisputed fact situation obtaining in the present case, this Court feels no hesitation to conclude that the instant transfer application deserves to be allowed. It is so said because pendency of other litigations between the parties and distance between two places, besides her convenience, are some of the relevant considerations for deciding the transfer application, like the present one.

The abovesaid view taken by this Court also finds support from the order dated 16.3.2016 passed by this Court in **TA No.945 of 2015 (Sushma and others v. Kapil @ Sahil Bansal)**, which in turn, was based on the judgments of the Hon'ble Supreme Court, as well as different High Courts, including this Court.

No other argument was raised.

Considering the peculiar facts and circumstances of the case noted above, coupled with the reasons aforementioned, this Court is of the considered view that the instant transfer application deserves to be accepted and the same is hereby allowed. The above-said petition under Section 9 of the HM Act, filed by the respondent-husband, is ordered to be transferred from Fatehabad to Sirsa.

Accordingly, learned District Judge, Fatehabad, is directed to send the complete record of the above-said petition to the learned District Judge, Sirsa, at an early date and in any case within a period of one month from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order.

Learned District Judge, Sirsa, is also directed either to decide the case himself or assign it to the learned court of competent jurisdiction for its early decision, in accordance with law.

With the above-said observations made and directions issued, the instant petition stands disposed of.

1.6.2016
mks

(RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK)
JUDGE