

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH.**

Transfer Application No.273 of 2016
Date of decision: August 27, 2016

Vanita ...Petitioner

Versus

Mukesh Kumar ...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJAN GUPTA

Present: Mr. Prashant Bansal, Advocate for the applicant.

None for the respondent.

Rajan Gupta, J.(oral)

Petitioner, who is wife of respondent, seeks transfer of proceedings under section 9 of the Act pending at Bathinda to Patiala.

Learned counsel for the applicant submits that the jurisdiction of Bathinda court is not made out. He submits that applicant has two children to look after and she is living alone in Patiala. It would be difficult for her to defend the proceedings at Bathinda, keeping in view her responsibilities.

Respondent remains unrepresented despite service. This petition is, thus, allowed. It is directed that the proceedings under section 9 of the Act pending at Bathinda be transferred to competent court at Patiala.

**(RAJAN GUPTA)
JUDGE**

August 27, 2016
'Rajpal'

Whether speaking / reasoned Yes / No

Whether Reportable: Yes / No