

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

TA No.264 of 2016 (O&M)

Date of decision: 04.05.2016

Neha

... Applicant

Vs.

Gaurav

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK

Present: Mr. Nonish Kumar, Advocate for
Mr. V.K. Gupta, Advocate
for the applicant.

None for the respondent.

1. Whether reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment? YES/NO
2. To be referred to the reporters or not? YES/NO
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest? YES/NO

RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK, J. (ORAL)

Applicant-wife, by way of instant application under Section 24 of the Code of Civil Procedure, seeks transfer of a petition under Section 9 of the Hindu Marriage Act, 1955 (for short 'HM Act') filed by the respondent-husband from Assandh, District Karnal to Yamuna Nagar.

Notice of motion was issued.

As per the dasti notice issued, service on the respondent has been duly effected, however, nobody has come present on behalf of the respondent to contest the instant transfer application.

Heard learned counsel for the applicant.

It has gone undisputed before this Court that there is a child out

of this wedlock. Applicant-wife, along with her minor child, is staying with her parents at Jagadhri. Neither the applicant-wife is having any regular source of income nor the respondent-husband is paying any amount of maintenance either for the applicant-wife or for the child. Distance between Assandh and Yamuna Nagar is more than 100 kilometers.

In view of the abovesaid undisputed fact situation obtaining in the present case, this Court feels no hesitation to conclude that the present transfer application deserves to be allowed. It is so said because responsibility of the wife for bringing up the children, her financial status, her regular source of income, conduct of the husband and distance between two places, besides the convenience of the wife, are some of the relevant considerations for deciding the transfer applications, like the present one.

The abovesaid view taken by this Court also finds support from the order dated 16.03.2016 passed by this Court in TA No.945 of 2015 (Sushma and others Vs. Kapil @ Sahil Bansal), which, in turn, was based on the judgments of the Hon'ble Supreme Court, as well as different High Courts, including this Court.

Considering the peculiar facts and circumstances of the case noted above, coupled with the reasons aforementioned, this Court is of the considered view that instant transfer application deserves to be accepted and the same is hereby allowed. Petition under Section 9 of the HM Act bearing case No.HMA/1/2016 titled as Gaurav Vs. Neha filed by the respondent-husband, is ordered to be transferred from Assandh, District Karnal to Yamuna Nagar.

Accordingly, learned District Judge, Karnal is directed to send

the complete record of the abovesaid petition, to the learned District Judge, Yamuna Nagar at an early date and in any case within a period of one month from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

Learned District Judge, Yamuna Nagar is also directed either to decide the case himself or assign it to the learned Court of competent jurisdiction for its early decision, in accordance with law.

With the abovesaid observations made and directions issued, instant transfer application stands disposed of, however, with no order as to costs.

[RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK]
JUDGE

04.05.2016
vishnu