

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

TA No.228 of 2016

Date of decision: 23.05.2016

Chamanpreet Kaur

... Applicant

Vs.

Gurminder Singh

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK

Present: Mr. Jasmandeep, Advocate
for the applicant.

Mr. Lalit Thakur, Advocate
for the respondent.

1. Whether reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment? YES/NO
2. To be referred to the reporters or not? YES/NO
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest? YES/NO

RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK, J. (ORAL)

Applicant-wife, by way of instant application under Section 24 of the Code of Civil Procedure, seeks transfer of a petition under Section 9 of the Hindu Marriage Act, 1955 (for short 'HM Act') filed by the respondent-husband from Chandigarh to Amritsar.

Notice of motion was issued and in the meantime, further proceedings before the learned trial Court at Chandigarh were stayed.

Heard learned counsel for the parties.

Learned counsel for the respondent-husband, at the very outset, submits that since both the parties have filed a petition under Section 13B of the HM Act at Amritsar, seeking a decree of divorce by way of mutual

consent, instant transfer application has been rendered infructuous and the same may be disposed of, as such.

Faced with the above, learned counsel for the applicant submits that since he has got no such instructions, let the present transfer application be disposed of, as having been rendered infructuous but liberty may be granted to the applicant to get it revived, if necessity arises.

Ordered accordingly.

[RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK]
JUDGE

23.05.2016
vishnu