

**HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

TA-116-2016

Date of decision: 14.3.2016

Hukam Chand

...Appellant

Versus

Tippar Chand and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK

1. To be referred to the Reporters or not ?
2. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest ?

Present: Mr. Pankaj Gupta, Advocate for the petitioner.

RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK, J. (Oral)

The only argument raised by the learned counsel for the petitioner is that son of respondent No.2 is a practicing Advocate in District Courts Barnala, where two civil suits are going on between the parties. Said Advocate, who is son of respondent No.2 is not allowing the witnesses of the petitioner to appear in the court.

After hearing learned counsel for the petitioner, the above-said argument has been found totally misplaced. Petitioner is not without remedy. Even if there is some substance in the allegation levelled, petitioner would be at liberty to seek appropriate remedy, in accordance with law.

In view of the above, no ground for transfer of two civil suits from Barnala to Mansa has been made out.

Dismissed.

14.3.2016
mks

(RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK)
JUDGE