

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

RSA No.584 of 2015 (O&M)

Date of decision : 11.01.2016

Nazar Singh

...Appellant

Versus

Jand Singh and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL.

1. Whether reporters of local newspapers may be allowed to see judgment ?
2. To be referred to reporters or not ?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest ?

Present: Mr. L.S. Sidhu, Advocate for the appellant.

Mr. V.K. Sachdeva, Advocate for respondent No.1.

AMIT RAWAL, J. (Oral)

This Court, after noticing the contention of learned counsel for the appellant vis-a-vis reduction of the interest from 12% p.a. to 9% p.a., issued notice of motion qua interest part only.

Mr. Sachdeva, Advocate has put in appearance on behalf of respondent No.1 and says that his client is not averse in case rate of interest is reduced from 12% p.a. to 9% p.a. in case the judgment and decree of both the Courts below vis-a-vis principal amount are upheld.

Keeping in view the aforementioned concession given by Mr. Sachdeva, rate of interest upheld by the trial Court is reduced from 12% p.a.

to 9% p.a. over and above, the principal amount already ordered.

The respondents-plaintiffs shall be at liberty to seek execution of the modified judgment and decree in accordance with law.

Appeal stands disposed of.

11.01.2016

pawan

**(AMIT RAWAL)
JUDGE**