

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH.**

**Regular Second Appeal No.5707 of 2015(O&M)
Date of Decision: August 16, 2016**

Jagjit Singh

.....APPELLANT

VERSUS

Punjab State Electricity Board, Budhlada etc.

.....RESPONDENTS

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SURINDER GUPTA

Present: Mr. G.S. Bal, Senior Advocate
with Mr. ADS Bal, Advocate
for the appellant.

Mr. P.S. Thiara, Advocate
for the respondents.

SURINDER GUPTA, J.(Oral)

Affidavit of Engineer R.K. Goyal, Additional S.E, Operation
Division, PSPCL, Budhlada, filed.

2. Learned counsel for the appellant submits that appellant has
no objection, if all the 14 tubewell connections, which are getting power
from urban feeder are shifted to rural feeder, as per policy of the
Electricity Board.

3. Learned counsel representing the Punjab State Electricity
Board submits that under the policy of Electricity Board, the respondent-
Department has prepared estimates and is in the process of shifting all the

14 tubewell connections from urban feeder to rural feeder.

4. In view of the affidavit and submissions of counsel for the appellant, this appeal is disposed of, as per the undertaking given in the affidavit filed today by Engineer R.K. Goyal. The connection of the plaintiff-appellant shall not be shifted from urban feeder to rural feeder in a pick and choose manner. However, nothing observed in this order or by the Courts below, shall debar the respondents-defendants from shifting all the 14 tubewell connections from urban feeder to rural feeder. It is, however, made clear that shifting of connections within a span of few days will not amount to pick and choose.

(SURINDER GUPTA)
JUDGE

August 16, 2016.
deepak/jv

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether reportable

Yes/No