

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

**RSA No.5190 of 2015
Date of Decision.02.08.2016**

Sumit in fact Samit and anotherAppellants

Vs.

Sohan Lal and anotherRespondents

Present: None for the appellants.

CORAM:HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not ?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

-.-

AMIT RAWAL J. (ORAL)

There is no representation for the appellants even at the second calling. There had been no representation even at the previous hearing.

The second appeal is dismissed for default of appearance and non-prosecution.

**(AMIT RAWAL)
JUDGE**

August 02, 2016
Pankaj*

Whether reasoned/speaking No

Whether reportable No