

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

247

**C.M No.12009-C of 2015 and
RSA No.5044 of 2015(O&M)**

Date of Decision:11.05.2016

Tara Singh

.....Appellant

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE P.B.BAJANTHRI

- 1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?**
- 2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?**
- 3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?**

**Present: Mr.Sumit Puri, Advocate,
for Mr.Gaurav Singla, Advocate,
for the appellant.**

P.B.BAJANTHRI, J.(oral)

This is an application for condonation of 4075 days' delay
in filing the present appeal.

The reasons assigned in para 3 of the application are as
under:-

“That, however, the counsel engaged by the appellant in the first appeal, did not inform the appellant about the decision of the first appeal and the appellant was under impression that the first appeal was still pending, as the appellant is based in District Sangrur, Punjab and the appeal was pending at Patiala, District Patiala, due to this reason and due to some domestic problems the appellant could not be in a position to follow the case effectively. Resultantly, due to inadvertence of the counsel in the first appeal there has been a delay of 4075 days in filing of the aforesaid appeal.”

Sufficient cause and reasons have not been stated for condoning the delay of 4075 days. Thus, the application for condonation of delay of 4075 days, is rejected.

Consequently, the main appeal stands dismissed.

May 11, 2016
seema

(P.B.BAJANTHRI)
JUDGE