

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

RSA No.219 of 2014 (O&M)
Date of decision:10.08.2016

Ravinder Singh and others

... Appellants

Vs.

Jarnail Kaur and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL

Present:- Mr. Parvinder Singh, Advocate
for the appellants.

AMIT RAWAL J. (Oral)

Appellant-plaintiffs are aggrieved of the dismissal of the suit seeking declaration vis-a-vis ownership on the ground that respondent-defendants have lost their right to seek redemption owing to the expiry of 30 years, in essence, possession was for 30 years. Both the Courts below have non-suited the appellants. In the absence of any period prescribed in the mortgage deed, seeking redemption, it has now been laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **Singh Ram (D) through LR.s. vs. Sheo Ram and others 2014(4) R.C.R (Civil) 179**, that there is no time limit to seek redemption and rightly so, both the Courts below have non-suited the appellant-plaintiffs in not granting the declaration as the defendants have a right to seek possession.

In view of the aforementioned observations, I do not intend to differ with the findings rendered by both the Courts below which are based

upon the appreciation of oral and documentary evidence, much less, no substantial question of law arises for adjudication of the present appeal.

Accordingly, the appeal stands dismissed.

(AMIT RAWAL)
JUDGE

August 10, 2016
savita

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No