

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH.

RSA No.2064 of 2014 (O&M)

Date of decision:22.8.2016

Harbhajan Kaur

... Appellant

versus

Surjit Singh

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH.

Present: Mr.Karamjit Singh, Advocate,
for the appellant
Ms.Manjit Kaur, Advocate,
for the respondent

...

AMOL RATTAN SINGH, J. (Oral)

1. As noticed in the order dated 3.6.2016, a compromise has been effected between the sole appellant and the sole respondent in this appeal, in support of which a copy of the compromise deed has been annexed with CM No. 10778-C of 2016, along with the affidavit of the appellant, as also a photocopy of the affidavit of the respondent, Surjit Singh, with the original affidavit now having been submitted in Court by learned counsel for the appellant.

2. The appellant is also shown to be present in Court, identified by her counsel. She has also produced in Court her original *Aadhar Card* issued by the Unique Identification Authority of India, which is seen and returned.

In the affidavits of the appellant and the respondent, it has been

stated that the matter has been compromised between them as per their own wills and appellant Harbhajan Kaur has agreed to transfer her two shops, measuring 11' x 26' and 11' x 20', in the name of respondent Surjit Singh, who after such transfer would only be entitled to possession and usage of the ground floor thereof, with no concern with the roof rights.

3. Consequently, since the matter has been compromised between the parties, the judgments and decrees of the learned Courts below are set aside and the appeal is hereby disposed of, in terms of the aforesaid compromise, which shall form part of the decree sheet in this appeal and which the parties to the *lis* are held bound.

The decree sheet be drawn up accordingly.

22.8.2016
pk

(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether Reportable

Yes/No