

RENUKA RANI & ANR V/S STATE OF PUNJAB & ORS

Present : None for the petitioner (s).

Mr. Mehardeep Singh, Addl. A.G., Punjab

On the last date of hearing, none caused representation on behalf of the petitioners. Today as well, none has caused appearance despite information to the counsel.

On 07.03.2013, following order was passed by this Court:-

“Instant petition has been filed seeking protection of life and liberty of the petitioners from respondent no.4. The petitioners assert that they are major and as there is no legal impediment, they have got married. They have already moved a representation dated 22.01.2013 (Annexure P-4) to respondent No.2-Senior Superintendent of Police, Hoshiarpur, for protecting their life and liberty.

On 25.01.2013, the following order was passed by this Court:-

“ Learned counsel for the petitioners contends that the petitioners seek protection of their life and liberty at the hands of respondent no.4. Petitioner no.2, who is present in Court, states that he will produce FDR for a sum of Rs.4 lacs in favour of petitioner no.1 within a period of fifteen days from today to show his bona fide.

Notice of motion to the Advocate General, Punjab and other respondents for 12.2.2013.

In the meantime, petitioners may not be arrested.”

Today, petitioner No.2 states that in compliance of the order dated 25.01.2013, he has deposited Rs.3 lacs in the form of FDR for three years in favour of petitioner no.1 and will deposit the remaining of Rs.1 lac in the form of FDR for three years in favour of petitioner no.1 within six months from today. A photocopy of receipt, filed in Court, is taken on record.

Keeping in view the above, liberty is granted to the petitioners to approach respondent No.2-Senior Superintendent of Police, Hoshiarpur for protection, as per instructions dated 18.08.2010 issued by the Home Department, Punjab. Petitioners may not be arrested and adequate security be provided to them, if required.

Disposed of.

Petitioner no.2 will intimate this Court about deposit of

remaining amount of Rs.1 lac in the form of FDR for three years in favour of petitioner no.1 by 09.09.2013. In case the same is not done, this order shall be treated non est and Registry shall list the case for further hearing.”

On 18.03.2013, this Court had passed the following order :-

“Crl. Misc. No. M-2701 of 2013 was disposed of on 7.3.2013. Office has put up this case wherein it has been pointed out that as per the photocopy of the FDR placed on record by the petitioner the amount has been put in fixed deposit for a period of two months whereas as per statement of petitioner no.2 made before the Court, as is recorded in the order dated 7.3.2013 the period of fixed deposit is three years.

Let notice be issued to the learned counsel for the parties.

Sh. Sandeep Thakan, Advocate, who is present in the Court accepts notice on behalf of the petitioners and the learned State counsel accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State.

Heard learned counsel for the parties.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that the FDR has been got done for two months due to bona fide mistake. The learned counsel prays that the petitioners may be given an opportunity to get the period of FDR extended up to three years.

The prayer is allowed. Petitioners are directed to get the FDR converted for a period of three years and place on record a copy thereof. Registry is directed to send an intimation to the concerned-Bank that the FDR may be renewed for a period of three years. This FDR shall not be allowed to be encashed for three years.”

Neither the parties are coming forward, nor the counsel has come present. It appears that the parties have lost interest in the matter.

Accordingly, the matter is disposed of with liberty to the aggrieved party to revive the same within six weeks from today.

A copy of this order be sent to petitioner No.1.

03.11.2016
ashok

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE