

MANPREET KAUR AND ANR. V/S STATE OF PUNJAB AND ORS.

Present : Mr. Ravinder Sharma, Advocate for the petitioner (s).

Mr. Mehardeep Singh, Addl. A.G., Punjab

\*\*\*\*

On the last date of hearing, the learned counsel for the petitioners was unable to explain as to why order dated 07.06.2013 had not been complied with. Today as well, he has no instructions regarding the compliance of said order.

On 07.06.2013, following order was passed by this Court:-

*“At the very outset an affidavit dated 7.6.2013 of Amritpal Khan son of Ram Singh, petitioner no.2 has been filed in Court today and the same is taken on record. In terms of such affidavit, petitioner no.2 has undertaken to deposit a sum of Rs.50,000 in the shape of F.D.R in the name of petitioner no.1 i.e. with whom he has entered into a matrimony on 1.6.2013. Such affidavit has been filed to demonstrate his bonafides as regards his commitment to the relationship as also to provide security to petitioner no.1.*

*This is a petition under Section 482 Cr.P.C seeking directions for protection of life and liberty of the petitioners, who are stated to be major and got married on 1.6.2013 against the wishes of their parents. It has been averred that they have an apprehension of threat to their life and liberty at the hands of respondents no.4 to 9.*

*In the light of directions issued by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **Lata Singh Vs. State of U.P and another**, JT 2006(6) S.C, 179 liberty is granted to the present petitioners to appear before the Senior Superintendent of Police, Sangrur (respondent no.2) and to file a detailed representation regarding apprehension as also threat perception. Upon a representation being filed, the Senior Superintendent of Police, Sangrur (respondent no.2) is directed to examine the same and take necessary steps if so, warranted in accordance with law. Respondent no.2 shall also ensure strict compliance as regards deposit of Rs.50,000/- in the shape of F.D.R in favour of petitioner no.1 in the light of the affidavit submitted before this Court.*

*Petition stands disposed of.”*

Neither the parties are coming forward, nor the counsel has any

instructions regarding the compliance of order dated 07.06.2013. It appears that the parties have lost interest in the matter.

Accordingly, the matter is disposed of with liberty to the aggrieved party to revive the same within six weeks from today.

A copy of this order be sent to petitioner No.1.

03.11.2016  
ashok

**(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)**  
**JUDGE**