

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

RSA NO.1389 of 2014 (O&M)
Date of decision : 08.04.2016

DHARAM PAL

..Appellant

Versus

JAGDISH

..Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. P. S. Jammu, Advocate
for the appellant.

Mr. Kanwaljeet Singh, Sr. Advocate with
Mr. Amandeep S. Meho, Advocate
for the respondent.

JITENDRA CHAUHAN, J. (Oral)

CM NO.3361-C of 2014

The learned counsel for the appellant contends that the deficiency of the court fee has been made good.

In view of the grounds mentioned in the application, which is duly supported by an affidavit, the delay in making of the deficiency is condoned.

CM is disposed of.

CM NO.3360-C of 2014

For the reasons contained in the application, same is allowed and delay of 83 days in re-filing the present appeal is

condoned.

Main Case

In view of the order passed in connected RSA No.295 of 2014 titled as '**Jagdish Vs. Dharam Pal**', the present appeal is disposed of as having been rendered infructuous.

08.04.2016

ashok

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE