

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**RSA No. 3829 of 2015 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 12.04.2016**

Ashok Kumar Kathuria

.....Appellant

Vs.

Dakshin Haryana Bijli Vitran Nigam Ltd. and another

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH

Present: None.

AMOL RATTAN SINGH, J. (ORAL)

Vide order dated 11.03.2016, it had been made clear that if the matter is not argued on the next date of hearing, appropriate orders would be passed even in the absence of counsel for the appellant, or even if a request for an adjournment is made.

A perusal of the orders sheet reveals that none had appeared on behalf of the appellant on 20.08.2015. Thereafter, the matter came up for hearing on 10.12.2015 and again none had appeared.

On the last date of hearing, i.e. 11.03.2016, though counsel for the appellant had appeared, he had requested for an adjournment, whence the aforesaid order was passed.

Hence, since counsel is not interested in arguing the matter, dismissed for non-prosecution.

**(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)
JUDGE**

April 12, 2016
nitin/vcgarg