

IOIN-CRM-M No.18352 of 2013

Jasbir Kaur and another

vs.

State of Punjab and others

Present : None for the petitioners.

Mr. C.S. Brar, Deputy Advocate General, Punjab
for respondents No.1 to 5-State.

Learned State Counsel with all fairness has conceded to at the bar that there has been due compliance of the directions earlier issued by this Court to the official respondents to protect the life and personal liberty of the petitioners who were in peril at the hands of the private respondents.

Since, there has been adequate redressal of the grievance of the petitioners and sufficient protection to life and personal liberty has been ordered as is guaranteed under Article 21 of the Constitution of India.

Mere non-adherence as per the office report by not depositing the amount by way of FDR as earlier ordered by this Court is not a legitimate and permissible ground to deny the couple their constitutional right, thus there is no necessity for calling the petitioner to deposit the amount, as such the IO stands disposed off.

September 07, 2016
Dpr

(FATEH DEEP SINGH)
JUDGE