263

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

RSA-3241-2015 (O&M)
Date of decision : 11.07.2016

Harbans Singh

... Appellant(s)

Versus

Keemat Kumar

... Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL

Present: Mr. Ishan Gupta, Advocate
for the appellant(s).

Mr. Atul Goyal, Advocate
for the respondent(s).

****

1. Whether reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest?

AMIT RAWAL, J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the parties are *ad idem* that the parties during the pendency of the appeal, have arrived at compromise.

Mr. Ishan Gupta, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the appellant(s) submits that no cause of action survives in the present appeal and wishes to withdraw the present appeal.

In view of the above, the appeal is ordered to be withdrawn in the aforementioned terms.

Dismissed as withdrawn.

(AMIT RAWAL)
JUDGE

11.07.2016
yogesh

SHARMA YOGESH
2016.07.13 10:18
I attest to the accuracy and
integrity of this document