

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

229

SAO-35 OF 2013

Date of Decision: 21.01.2016

KULDEEP KAUR

---Appellant

versus

DARSHAN SINGH AND OTHERS

---Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE REKHA MITTAL

Present: Mr. M.S. Dhami, Advocate
for the appellant.

Mr. K.S. Dadwal, Advocate
for respondent No.1.

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

REKHA MITTAL, J.

Counsel for the appellant, on instructions from the appellant present in the Court, has submitted that he does not press the appeal on merits but some time-bound directions may be issued to the trial Court to dispose of the suit. Counsel for the respondent has got no objection for the same.

In view of the above, without going into the merits of the controversy, the appeal stands disposed of, however, the trial Court shall put its best endeavour to dispose of the suit expeditiously, preferably within a period of 9 months.

**(REKHA MITTAL)
JUDGE**

January 21, 2016.

SwarnjitS