

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

RSA No.2900 of 2016 (O&M)

Date of Decision.26.07.2016

Harbhajan Kaur

.....Appellant

Vs.

Balkar Singh and others

.....Respondents

Present: Mr. Kanwaljit Singh, Senior Advocate with
Ms. Gurdeep Kaur, Advocate
for the appellant.

CORAM:HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not ?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

-:-

AMIT RAWAL J. (ORAL)

C.M. No.7828-C of 2016

For the reasons stated in the application, delay of 267 days in filing the appeal is condoned.

Application is allowed.

RSA No.2900 of 2016

Mr. Kanwaljit Singh, Senior Advocate assisted by Ms. Gurdeep Kaur, Advocate had been fair enough in pointing out that in the appeal filed at the instance of the subsequent vendee, alleged to have purchased property from the appellant-defendant No.1, sister of the respondent-plaintiff, had already been dismissed in regular second appeal bearing No.3479 of 2015 vide order dated 19.05.2016 and pass on a copy of the same. I gone through the judgment and of the view that when subsequent vendee's right has been rejected by this Court, the vendor would not have

Accordingly, the instant second appeal is dismissed in terms of the judgment passed in RSA No.3479 of 2015.

(AMIT RAWAL)
JUDGE

July 26, 2016
Pankaj*

Whether speaking/reasoned Yes

Whether reportable No